

Local Railway Items from Area Papers - Gananoque and Arnprior Railway

03/03/1913 Kingston Weekly British W Gananoque and Arnprior

The bill to incorporate the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway, introduced by John R. Darganel, M.P.P. for South Leeds, was given its first reading on Wednesday

04/03/1913 Ottawa Citizen Gananoque and Arnprior

(Special to The Evening Citizen.)

Toronto, March 4. The railway committee of the legislature held its first meeting of the session this morning and reported among other bills that of the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway which seeks a charter to construct a line between those towns. The clause giving power to the company to cross the Rideau waters at or near the Narrows locks was struck out, the committee being of the opinion that this power could only be conferred by the Dominion railway board. It was also provided that the company could not supply any municipality along its route with electricity unless the Hydro-Electric Commission approved of the agreement..

08/03/1913 Ottawa Citizen Gananoque and Arnprior

--private bills received their second reading - application of the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway Company for incorporation .

17/04/1913 Kemptville Weekly Advance Gananoque and Arnprior Gananoque

Gananoque proposes to subsidize the Gananoque & Arnprior Railway to the amount of \$20,000, payable when the road is built, equipped and ready for business.

28/06/1913 National Post Gananoque and Arnprior

July 4th. Gananoque, Ont - The following by-laws will be submitted to the burgesses on the above date: - to authorize a bonus of \$20,000 to the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway.

06/08/1913 Montreal Gazette Gananoque and Arnprior

Vote Bonus to Railway

Brockville, Ont., August 5. (Special.) The township of Leeds and Lansdowne voted upon a by-law to give a bonus of \$15,000 to the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway. The by-law was carried by a majority of 141, the vote being 190 for, 49 against..

27/08/1913 Kingston Daily Standard Gananoque and Arnprior

The by-law voted on in the Township of South Crosby on Monday to grant a bonus of \$15,000 to Gananoque and Arnprior Railway, was defeated by a majority of four. It also lacked about a dozen votes of having the necessary one-third of the qualified number of voters voting in favor of the by-law..

27/01/1914 Rideau Record Gananoque and Arnprior

The Gananoque and Arnprior Railway Company

Notice:

The Gananoque and Arnprior Railway Company will apply to the legislature of Ontario, at its next Session, for an act authorizing it to construct a branch line of railway from some point on the main line between Gananoque and Arnprior, in the township of rear Leeds and Lansdowne, and thence through the townships of rear of Leeds and Lansdowne, Bastard, Kitley, Elmsley, Wolford, Montague, Marlborough, North Gower, and Nepean to the city of Ottawa, in the county of Carleton, and for other purposes. Dated at Ottawa, Nov. 11th 1913. J. C. Judd, Solicitor for Ry. Company.

03/04/1914 Renfrew Mercury Gananoque and Arnprior

The Gananoque and Arnprior Railway Company will apply to the legislature of Ontario, at this present session, for an act authorizing it to construct a branch line from its main line between Gananoque and Arnprior, in the township of rear of Leeds and Lansdowne, and thence through the townships of rear of Leeds and Lansdowne, Bastard, Kitley, Elmsley, Wolford, Montague, Marlborough, North Gower and Nepean, to the city of Ottawa in the County of Carleton, and for other purposes.

16/06/1914 Kingston Daily British Whi Gananoque and Arnprior

Gananoque, June 14 - Voting on the by-law to grant a bonus of \$25,000 to the Gananoque and Arnprior railway took place yesterday with one poll in each ward. The by-law was carried by a majority of 197 votes. The amount will be met by town debentures payable in thirty annual installments of interest and principal amounting to \$1,445.75

27/06/1914 National Post Gananoque and Arnprior

Gananoque, Ont. On June 15 a by-law to grant a bonus of \$25,000 to the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway was carried by a large majority.

05/04/1916 Toronto Star Gananoque and Arnprior

After considerable argument and exchange of several warm passages between Sir Adam Beck and Mr. J.C. Judd, Ottawa it was decided by the Railway Committee today to refer the matter of the granting of an extension of time to the Gananoque and Arnprior Railway to the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council That the Hydro had spent \$8,000,000 on the Seymour Falls and that it would not get as far as Kingston with its power was one of the statements made by Mr. Judd representing the parties interested in the granting of the extension which brought Sir Adam Beck hopping to his feet "Do you mean to say that you know the statement that you have just made is correct?" asked Sir Adam "It is not my personal opinion but the opinion of those with a knowledge of conditions that you will not get farther than Kingston with the radials if you get that far" answered Mr Judd. "We'll, you do not know what you are talking about," said Sir Adam

It appears that the original charter was granted in 1913 but since then nothing had been done in the development of the line The excuse was that the war had been declared just after the original charter had been granted. A Hilliard, of Dundas, was opposed to the granting of the extension on the ground that there were several lines operating in that section. He pointed out that the original time for building the road had lapsed, and was opposed to the granting of any further time. On inquiry it developed that a Mr. Focquet, of Ottawa, was behind the scheme to finance and build the line. "He is the man behind the gun," said Mr. Judd, "and he hasn't fired a shot yet," commented Sir Adam.

Judd declared that there was no assurance that these eastern towns would have hydro radials, and that it meant that the townships would have to get out petitions, and if the road failed to pay the deficit would have to be made up by them. He declared that \$3,000 had already been expended in preliminary work.

Hart, East simcoe, was another strongly opposed to the granting of an extension. "There is too much criticism of hydro. I know that a man in Ottawa described the hydro as a 'monument of folly,' and stated that it sold for \$22 per horse-power, while the municipalities purchased it at \$13 per horse-power. It is all together wrong that these men, ignorant of the facts, should make such statements."

Hon. I. B. Lucas suggested that it be sent to the Lieutenant-Governor-in-Council; that if Hydro did not go into effect an opportunity should be given these private individuals to build the line.

HYDRO WILL COME TO CITY

SIR ADAM BECK EMPHATIC ABOUT THIS

Was Aroused by Speaker who Declared Hydro would Not Get As Far As Kingston

(Special to The Standard.)

Toronto, April 5. After considerable argument and an exchange of several warm passages between Sir Adam Beck and Mr. J. C. Judd, Ottawa, It was decided by the Railway Committee to-day to refer the matter of the granting of an extension of time to the Gananoque and Arnprior railway to the lieutenant-governor-in council.

That the Hydro had spent eight millions in buying the Seymour power interests and that It would not get as far as Kingston with its power was one of the statements made by Mr. Judd, representing the parties interested in the granting of an extension.

This brought Sir Adam Beck hopping to his feet "Do you mean to say that you know the statement that you have just made is correct?" asked Sir Adam.

"It is my personal opinion but the opinion of those with a knowledge of conditions, that you will not get any farther than Kingston with the radials if you get that far, answered Mr Judd.

"Well, you do not know what you are talking about, said Sir Adam.

Hon. I.B. Lucas suggested that it be sent to the lieutenant-governor-in-council and that if Hydro did not go into effect an opportunity should be given these private individuals to build their line.

Also in the Weekly British Whig of 6 April 1916